WESTERN DISTRICT OF NE			
MICHAEL BENNETT,			
v.	Plaintiff,	Civil Action No.	
COMMERCIAL RECOVERY	SYSTEMS, INC.,		
	Defendant.		

INTEREST OF A TERM DIGERRICATION COLUMN

#### COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought in response to Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) in that the Defendant transacts business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

## III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Michael Bennett, is a natural person residing in the County of Erie and State of New York and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant, Commercial Recovery Systems, Inc., is a business corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 6. Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 7. The acts of the Defendant alleged hereinafter were performed by its employees acting within the scope of their actual or apparent authority.

8. All references to "Defendant" herein shall mean the Defendant or an employee of the Defendant.

### IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. That Plaintiff incurred a debt to HSBC. This debt will be referred to as "the subject debt."
- 10. That the subject debt arose out of a transaction in which money, services or property, which was the subject of the transaction, was primarily for personal, family and/or household purposes. As such, said debt is a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- 11. That Plaintiff thereafter defaulted on the subject debt.
- 12. That upon information and belief Defendant was employed by HSBC to collect on the subject debt.
- 13. That in or about January of 2012, Defendant began calling Plaintiff multiple times per week in an attempt to collect on the subject debt. That in said messages Defendant fails to give the mini-Miranda warning that a debt collector was calling in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 14. That Defendant left a voice message on Plaintiff's voicemail which state;
  - A. "A claim was forwarded to my office,"
  - B. "You or your attorney must call today by 5 pm central standard time,"
  - C. "If I don't hear from you or your attorney I will have to file the necessary documents to initiate proceedings against you."
- 15. That despite Defendant's statements, they had not been authorized by HSBC to pursue legal action against Plaintiff, and did not intend to do so.
- 16. That as a result of Defendant's acts Plaintiff became nervous, upset, anxious, and suffered from emotional distress.

### V. CAUSE OF ACTION

- 17. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. The conduct of Defendant as described in this complaint violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq.) as follows:

- A. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692d and 15 U.S.C. §1692d(2) by using language the natural consequence of which is to abuse the hearer as described in paragraph 14(A)-14(C).
- B. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692d and 15 U.S.C. §1692d(5) by repeatedly causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass.
- C. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e, 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2)(A), 15 U.S.C. §1692e(5) and 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10) by using false and misleading representations when stating "You or your attorney must call today by 5 pm central standard time" and "If I don't hear from you or your attorney I will have to file the necessary documents to initiate proceedings against you".
- D. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e and 15 U.S.C. §1692e(11) by failing to give the mini-Miranda warning that a debt collector was calling in an attempt to collect a debt in the multiple messages left for Plaintiff.
- E. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g by failing to send Plaintiff the appropriate 30-day validation notice within five days of the initial contact.
- 19. That as a result of the Defendant's FDCPA violations as alleged herein, Plaintiff became nervous, upset, anxious and suffered from emotional distress.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against the Defendant for:

- (a) Actual damages;
- (b) Statutory damages for pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- (c) Costs, disbursements and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- (d) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

# VI. JURY DEMAND

Please take notice that Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

Dated: April 4, 2012

/s/ Seth J. Andrews\_

Seth J. Andrews, Esq. Kenneth R. Hiller, Esq. Law Offices of Kenneth Hiller, PLLC Attorneys for the Plaintiff 6000 North Bailey Ave., Suite 1A Amherst, NY 14226 (716) 564-3288

Email: sandrews@kennethhiller.com khiller@kennethhiller.com